

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,799	05/11/2001	Bodin Dresevic	03797.00132	5479	
28319 7590 01/17/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797			EXAMINER		
			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V		
1001 G STREET , N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2624		
				,	
•	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
09/852,799		DRESEVIC ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
Sath V. Perungavoor		2624

			1			
	Sath V. Perungavoor	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance (	t, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	-					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ocalice.			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•		cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	_ 50.011/,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	kplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	I and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		itty io boiott of attaon.	<b>54</b> .			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>13. ☒ Other: PTO-892.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	Me	who c.	Bella			
	•	AMATTUEM C REI	1 A			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 20070111

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner concurs that #define relates to a preprocessor definition. However, the term "data structure" as it relates to the claims encompasses a broad class of definitions. Data structure as commonly understood are taken as merely way/form of organizing data, as such, a file format definition would be interpeted by one ordinary skill to be equivalent to a data structure. Please find attached some common definitions for the term data structure. Examiner suggests that the applicant define the term "data structure", preferably in the body of the claims and how such a definition differs from that of JOT.